UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

IN RE: REALPAGE, INC., RENTAL)	NO. 3:23-cv-03071
SOFTWARE ANTITRUST)	MDL No. 3071
LITIGATION (NO. II))	
)	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
)	
)	ALL CASES

JOINT STATUS REPORT

I. <u>Settlement</u>

A. Updated Status as of March 29, 2024

Plaintiffs have reached settlement agreements in principle with Defendants Apartment Income REIT, Corp., d/b/a AIR Communities ("AIR"); Avenue5 Residential, LLC; and Pinnacle Property Management Services, LLC ("Pinnacle"). Plaintiffs are working with AIR and Pinnacle to document their in-principle settlement agreements, and subsequently file with the Court preliminary approval papers.

Scheduled and prior mediation sessions are as follows:

Scheduled for April	Bell Partners, Inc. ("Bell"), BH Management Services, LLC ("BH"),	
8, 2024 Mediation	Camden Property Trust ("Camden"), ConAm Management Corporation	
in Washington,	("ConAm"), Equity Residential ("Equity"), Greystar Management	
D.C. with Layn	Services, LLC, ("Greystar"), Highmark Residential ("Highmark"), LLC,	
Philips	Independence Realty Trust, Inc. ("IRT"); Lincoln Property Co.	
1	("Lincoln"), Morgan Properties Management Company, LLC ("Morgan	
	Properties"), RealPage, Inc., RPM Living, LLC ("RPM"), Security	
	Properties Residential, LLC ("Security Properties"), Sherman Associates,	
	Inc. ("Sherman"), The Related Companies, L.P. and Related Management	
	Company, L.P. ("Related"), Thoma Bravo, L.P., Thoma Bravo Fund XIII,	
	L.P., Thoma Bravo Fund XIV, L.P. ("the Thoma Bravo Defendants"),	
	Thrive Communities Management, LLC ("Thrive"), UDR, Inc. ("UDR"),	
	Windsor Property Management Company ("Windsor"), WinnCompanies	
	LLC and WinnResidential Manager Corp. ("Winn").	
Participated in	Defendants Apartment Management Consultants, LLC ("AMC");	
March 15, 2024	Bozzuto Management Company ("Bozzuto"); First Communities	
Mediation with	Management, Inc. ("FCM"); FPI Management, Inc. ("FPI"); Mission	

Layn Phillips and	Rock Residential, LLC; Rose Associates Inc. ("Rose"); Sares Regis Group
Clay Cogman (10	Commercial, Inc. ("Saris Regis"); and ZRS Management, LLC ("ZRS").
hours)	
Participated in	Plaintiffs and Defendants AIR; Allied Orion; Brookfield Properties
October 24, 2023	Multifamily LLC ("Brookfield"); CH Real Estate Services, LLC; ConAm;
Mediation with	CONTI Texas Organization, Inc., d/b/a CONTI Capital ("CONTI");
Layn Phillips and	Cortland Management, LLC ("Cortland"); CWS Apartment Homes LLC
Clay Cogman (6	("CWS"); Dayrise Residential, LLC ("Dayrise"); ECI Management, LLC
hours)	("ECI"); Essex Property Trust, Inc. ("Essex"); FCM; Independence Realty
	Trust, Inc. ("IRT"); Kairoi Management, LLC ("Kairoi"); Knightvest
	Residential ("Knighvest"); Lantower Luxury Living, LLC ("Lantower");
	Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. and Mid-America
	Apartments, L.P. ("MAA"); Mission Rock; Morgan Properties;
	Prometheus Real Estate Group, Inc.; Related; Rose; Simpson Property
	Group, LLC; Sares Regis Group Commercial, Inc.; Sherman; Trammell
	Crow Residential Company and Crow Holdings, LP; Windsor Property
	Management Company; Winn. ¹

Plaintiffs and Defendants who participated in these mediations have continued discussions through the mediators and bilaterally.

As to the remaining Defendant, Knightvest, the parties are now engaged in case resolution discussions.

The parties do not currently view any legal issues as an impediment to settlement. Plaintiffs believe that fulsome discovery, however, will be needed to contribute to more robust and meaningful settlement discussions going forward.

B. May 10, 2024 Report:

a. Pursuant to the Court's order (Dkt. 898), the parties will no longer provide settlement updates in joint status reports.

_

¹ This mediation was also attended by Defendants Campus Advantage, Inc.; Cardinal Group Holdings LLC; CA Ventures Global Services, LLC; and Timberline Real Estate Ventures LLC, all of which have since been dismissed from the case.

II. <u>Discovery</u>

- A. Below is the status of each of the following, including the date the described discovery was served, the deadline for response, and any known or anticipated discovery issues (e.g. preservation of evidence, privilege issues, or confidentiality issues). Fact discovery must be completed by November 21, 2025. (Dkt. 818 at 2.)
 - 1. Requests for Documents
 - (a) March 29, 2024 Report:
 - (i) Plaintiffs

Plaintiffs served their First Set of Requests for Production and Second Set of Requests for Production on February 16, 2024. On March 18 and 19, 2024, most Defendants² served their responses and objections to those requests. Plaintiffs are in the process of conferring with each Defendant about those responses and objections. The parties began meeting and conferring with Defendants regarding proposed document custodians on March 9, 2024, and those discussions are ongoing.³

(ii) Defendants

Defendants served their First Set of Requests for Production on March 4, 2024. Plaintiffs' deadline to respond is April 3, 2024.

Case 3:23-md-03071 Document 998 Filed 10/04/24 Page 3 of 53 PageID #: 16593

² Defendants Rose, Sares Regis and the Thoma Bravo Defendants negotiated extensions to their deadlines to serve their responses and objections. The Thoma Bravo Defendants will serve their responses and objections on the agreed-upon deadline of March 29, 2024. Sares Regis will serve their responses and objections within 5 days, and Rose within 10 days, after transfer back to this Court. Consistent with their previous submissions in the *Kabisch* matter, CONTI and Prometheus did not serve their responses and objections by this date. *See* Dkt #776 at 1 n.1. CONTI did not serve responses and objections as to *Vincin*. Meet and confers with CONTI and Prometheus are ongoing. AMC was served with Plaintiffs' First and Second Sets of Requests for Production on March 22, 2024, to which responses are due April 22, 2024.

³ Defendants CONTI, Prometheus, and Rose have not yet met and conferred with Plaintiffs regarding custodians during the pendency of their transfer back into this MDL.

(b) May 10, 2024 Report:

(i) Plaintiffs

The parties are continuing to meet and confer regarding Defendants' responses and objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production and Second Set of Requests for Production.⁴ Plaintiffs anticipate that global issues relating to the time frame and geographic scope of production, as well as some Defendants' position regarding production of documents produced to government regulators, may become ripe before the next monthly status report. The parties are continuing to meet and confer regarding Defendants' proposed document custodians and those discussions are ongoing.

For three of the Defendants whose claims were severed sua sponte from the *Kabisch v. RealPage, Inc.*, 3:23-cv-00742, action and transferred to other venues and then back to the Court pursuant to CTO-6 (Dkt. 879) on April 5, 2024—Prometheus, Sares Regis, and Rose—the parties have agreed to preliminary schedules related to Plaintiffs' document requests (Dkt. 883 at 3-5).

(ii) Defendants

On April 3, 2024, Plaintiffs served their responses and objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Production. Defendants continue to review Plaintiffs' responses and objections and will meet and confer regarding the adequacy of those responses and objections.

(c) June 7, 2024 Report:

(i) Plaintiffs

_

⁴ AMC served its responses and objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production and Second Set of Requests for Production on April 22, 2024. CONTI filed a Rule 12(b)(1) motion to dismiss in Vincin, which the Court dismissed without prejudice and entered an agreed scheduling order relating to CONTI's Rule 12(b)(1) motions to dismiss filings in Vincin and Kabisch, which set filing deadlines and allows Plaintiffs to take jurisdictional discovery relating to the motions to dismiss, as needed. (Dkt. #315).

The parties are continuing to meet and confer regarding Defendants' responses and objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production and Second Set of Requests for Production. Specifically, the parties continue to meet and confer with respect to the global issues raised in the last status report relating to the time frame and geographic scope of production, as well as (where applicable) some Defendants' position regarding full and not partial reproduction of documents produced to government regulators. To the extent that Plaintiffs cannot reach agreement with one or more Defendants on these issues, Plaintiffs anticipate seeking a discovery conference.⁵

(ii) Defendants

Defendants continue to review Plaintiffs' responses and objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Production and are meeting and conferring with Plaintiffs regarding the adequacy of those responses and objections.

July 3, 2024 Report: (d)

(i) **Plaintiffs**

The parties continue to meet and confer regarding Defendants' responses and objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production and Second Set of Requests for Production. Specifically, the parties continue to meet and confer with respect to the global issues raised in the last status report relating to the time frame and geographic scope of production, as well as (where applicable) some Defendants' position regarding full and not partial reproduction of documents produced to government regulators. To the extent that Plaintiffs cannot reach agreement with one or more Defendants on these issues, then Plaintiffs anticipate seeking a discovery conference.

⁵ As to Defendant CONTI, the case management deadlines as to CONTI have been stayed per Dkt. 914.

Additionally, Plaintiffs are meeting and conferring with RealPage about the conditions under which RealPage will produce usable source code for their RMS. If Plaintiffs cannot reach agreement with RealPage on the issue, then Plaintiffs anticipate seeking a discovery conference.

(ii) Defendants

Defendants are continuing to meet and confer with Plaintiffs regarding Plaintiffs' responses and objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Production.

(e) August 2, 2024 Report:

(i) Plaintiffs

The parties continue to meet and confer regarding Defendants' responses and objections to Plaintiffs' First and Second Sets of Requests for Production. The parties have held several successful in-person meet and confers that have resulted in agreements (including on time period, geographic scope of production, and government productions) without the need to raise discovery disputes with the Court. Some disputes remain ongoing. A handful of in-person meet and confers are scheduled or likely to be scheduled in the next few weeks regarding time period, geographic scope of production, government productions, and custodians. With respect to government productions, all but one of the applicable Defendants have agreed to reproduce those productions. The scope of government productions and their adequacy in covering Plaintiffs' Requests for Production in whole or in part (including temporal scope and custodians) are still being negotiated with five other Defendants. With respect to time frame for document production, Plaintiffs have sought production through March 2024, and some Defendants have only agreed to produce documents through the date of the first complaint in which they were named. Finally, Plaintiffs still continue to meet and confer with some Defendants concerning the geographic scope of their document production, including two Defendants who have not agreed to produce documents on a nationwide basis. Plaintiffs anticipate that one or more of these issues will become ripe for resolution with one or more Defendants before the September status conference.

Plaintiffs have also begun search methodology discussions with all Defendants. Plaintiffs are discussing the potential use of TAR with two Defendants, and those parties have exchanged draft protocols, which will ultimately be submitted to the Court for the Court's review before implementation. Plaintiffs are discussing potential search terms with other Defendants. Some Defendants have refused to provide search term hit reports to allow Plaintiffs to evaluate any claim of burden, and Plaintiffs anticipate that they may seek a discovery conference to move to compel such information before the September status conference.

Additionally, following receipt of RealPage's proposed Addendum to the Protective Order, which details the conditions under which RealPage will agree to produce any source code(s) for its RMS and associated databases, Plaintiffs participated in an in-person meet and confer with RealPage on July 11 concerning the same. The parties have yet to reach agreement with respect to the proper format and location where RealPage's source code(s) will be made available to Plaintiffs, and anticipate seeking a discovery conference if an agreement cannot be reached in the near term.

(ii) Defendants

Defendants are continuing to meet and confer with Plaintiffs regarding Plaintiffs' responses and objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Production.

(f) September 6, 2024 Report:

(i) Plaintiffs

The parties continue to meet and confer regarding Defendants' responses and objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production and Second Set of Requests for Production. The parties have held four in-person meet and confers since filing the August status report, and have one more scheduled prior to the September 13, 2024 status conference. The parties have engaged in productive meet and confers since the last status report, but some disputes remain ongoing, including disputes regarding government productions, time frame and geographic scope of document production, search methodology, and document custodians.

With respect to government productions, 16 Defendants have disclosed that they have made productions to the government in response to civil investigative demands or similar requests.

Of those Defendants:

- All have agreed to reproduce their government productions to Plaintiffs in this case,
 but five have not started reproduction yet.
- One Defendant will not identify to which entity(ies) its government production(s) was produced.
- One Defendant will not say whether it has received a CID or government subpoena,
 or made a government production.

With respect to temporal scope, some Defendants continue to ask for an earlier end date than Plaintiffs' proposed compromise of March 2024 (as opposed to the present). Plaintiffs are approaching agreement on this issue with certain of these Defendants based on specific circumstances, but are currently at disagreement with others.

With respect to geographic scope, three Defendants maintain that they will not produce documents on a nationwide basis.

Plaintiffs' search methodology discussions remain ongoing with most Defendants. Plaintiffs are discussing the potential use of TAR with three Defendants, whose proposals will be submitted to the Court before implementation. At least 28 Defendants have proposed using only

search terms, but at least three of them have refused to provide hit reports to allow Plaintiffs the opportunity to evaluate any claim of burden.

Plaintiffs continue to review RealPage's source code per the parties' interim agreement concerning the same.

Plaintiffs anticipate that they will seek a discovery conference regarding one or more of these ongoing issues with one or more Defendants before the next status conference.

(ii) Defendants

Defendants are continuing to meet and confer with Plaintiffs regarding Plaintiffs' responses and objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Production. The parties have reached agreement on several requests for production, but have an outstanding dispute concerning whether Plaintiffs will produce documents that are publicly-available but in Plaintiffs' possession, custody, or control.

The parties have exchanged draft search terms for the production of materials from Plaintiffs and are working through revisions to those draft search terms.

(g) October 4, 2024 Report:

(i) Plaintiffs

The parties continue to meet and confer regarding Defendants' responses and objections to Plaintiffs' First and Second Sets of Requests for Production. The parties have held ten in-person conferrals since filing the September status report. While the parties have engaged in productive meet and confers since the last status report, some disputes remain ongoing.

With regard to custodial negotiations (i.e., time frame and geographic scope of document production, search terms and methodology, and document custodians), Plaintiffs

have reached full agreement on all issues with the following 14 Defendants: Brookfield, Camden, Equity, Essex, Greystar, Highmark, IRT, Kairoi, Mission Rock, Sherman, Thoma Bravo, Thrive, Windsor, and Winn. Plaintiffs have reached agreement on everything except search terms with the following 9 Defendants: BH, Bozzuto, CWS, FCM, Lantower, Mid-America, Morgan, Simpson, and UDR. Of these Defendants, Plaintiffs are discussing the potential use of TAR with UDR, Winn, and Mid-America, whose proposals will be submitted to the Court before implementation. Plaintiffs maintain that hit reports are required to assess burden. Defendants still objecting to specific search terms on grounds of undue burden have generally agreed to provide hit reports on the terms in dispute. The parties are nearing agreement on those issues.

There are still a number of Defendants with whom Plaintiffs are continuing to discuss custodial issues, including Allied, AMC, Bell Partners, Bozzuto, CH, ConAm, Cortland, ECI, Knightvest, Lincoln, Prometheus, RealPage, Related, Rose, RPM, Sares Regis, Security Properties, Trammell Crow, and ZRS. Of these Defendants, 12 are still discussing the total number and identity of custodians with Plaintiffs, 12 are still discussing the end date of custodial productions with Plaintiffs, and two continue to maintain that they will not produce documents on a nationwide basis, in contrast to their Defendant counterparts. The parties are continuing to meet and confer, including in-person, on these issues, and are hopeful that agreements are near with various Defendants. That said, depending on the outcome of forthcoming scheduled conferrals, Plaintiffs anticipate that other discovery disputes may become ripe in the next month.

As stated in the September status report, all applicable Defendants have now agreed to reproduce their government productions.

Plaintiffs continue to review RealPage's source code per the parties' interim agreement concerning the same.

(ii) Defendants

Defendants are continuing to meet and confer with Plaintiffs regarding Plaintiffs' responses and objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Production. The parties have reached agreement on several requests for production, but have an outstanding dispute concerning whether Plaintiffs will produce documents that are publicly-available but in Plaintiffs' possession, custody, or control.

The parties have continued to exchange draft search terms for the production of materials from Plaintiffs and are working through revisions to those draft search terms.

2. Depositions

- (a) March 29, 2024 Report: No deposition notices have yet been served.
- (b) May 10, 2024 Report: No deposition notices have yet been served.
- (c) June 7, 2024 Report: No deposition notices have yet been served.
- (d) July 3, 2024 Report: No deposition notices have yet been served.
- (e) August 2, 2024 Report: No deposition notices have yet been served.
- (f) September 6, 2024 Report: No deposition notices have yet been served.
- (g) October 4, 2024 Report: No deposition notices have yet been served.

3. Requests for Admissions

- (a) March 29, 2024 Report: No requests for admission have yet been served.
- (b) May 10, 2024 Report:
 - (i) On April 5, 2024, Plaintiffs served their First Set of Requests for Admission. Defendants served their responses and

objections to these requests by May 6, 2024. The parties will meet and confer regarding these responses and objections, and Plaintiffs anticipate that a dispute concerning certain Defendants' responses may become ripe before the next status report.

- (c) June 7, 2024 Report: The parties are in the process of meeting and conferring about the adequacy of Defendants' responses and objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Admission.
- (d) July 3, 2024 Report: The parties are in the process of meeting and conferring about the adequacy of Defendants' responses and objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Admission.
- (e) August 2, 2024 Report: The parties are in the process of meeting and conferring about the adequacy of Defendants' responses and objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Admission. Windsor served two requests for admission on all Plaintiffs on July 29, 2024. Plaintiffs' responses to those requests for admission are due on August 28, 2024.
- (f) September 6, 2024 Report: The parties have finalized negotiations with respect to the adequacy of Defendants' responses and objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Admission.
- (g) October 4, 2024 Report: UDR served requests for admission on all Plaintiffs on September 16, 2024. Plaintiffs' responses to those requests for admission are due on October 16, 2024. Windsor and Plaintiffs are in the process of meeting and conferring about the adequacy of Plaintiffs' Responses and Objections to Windsor's Requests for Admission.
- 4. Interrogatories
 - (a) March 29, 2024 Report:
 - (i) Plaintiffs

Plaintiffs served their First Set of Interrogatories to Owner, Owner-Operator, and Manager Defendants on March 22, 2024, to which responses are due on April 22, 2024.

(ii) Defendants

Defendants served their First Set of Interrogatories on Plaintiffs on March 7, 2024, to which responses are due April 8, 2024. Defendants served their Second Set of Interrogatories on Plaintiffs on March 15, 2024, to which responses are due on April 15, 2024.

(b) May 10, 2024 Report:

(i) Plaintiffs

Plaintiffs agreed to Defendants' request for an extension to May 13, 2024 to serve their responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories to Owner, Owner-Operator, and Manager Defendants. Defendants will serve their responses to these interrogatories by this date.⁶

(ii) Defendants

Plaintiffs served their responses and objections to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories on April 8, 2024. Following agreement between the parties to extend Plaintiffs' deadline to respond to Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories, Plaintiffs served their responses and objections to Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories on April 25, 2024. The parties will meet and confer regarding any disputes concerning Plaintiffs' interrogatory responses.

(c) June 7, 2024 Report:

(i) Plaintiffs

Most Defendants served their responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories to Owner, Owner-Operator, and Manager Defendants by May 13, 2024.⁷ The parties are in the process of meeting and conferring regarding these responses.

_

⁶ UDR served its responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories to Owner, Owner-Operator, and Manager Defendants on May 3, 2024.

⁷ IRT served their responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories to Owner, Owner-Operator, and Manager Defendants responses on May 18, 2024, pursuant to an agreement with Plaintiffs. FPI served its responses and (cont'd)

(ii) Defendants

On April 8, 2024, Plaintiffs served their responses and objections to the LRO Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories. The parties met and conferred initially on May 20 and are continuing to meet and confer.

(d) July 3, 2024 Report:

(i) Plaintiffs

The parties are in the process of meeting and conferring regarding Defendants interrogatory responses and objections.

(ii) Defendants

The parties continue to meet and confer regarding Plaintiffs' responses and objections to both the LRO Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories and Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories.

(e) August 2, 2024 Report:

(i) Plaintiffs

Plaintiffs served Supplemental Responses and Objections to the LRO Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories. The parties are in the process of meeting and conferring regarding Defendants' Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories.

(ii) Defendants

The parties continue to meet and confer regarding Plaintiffs' responses and objections to both the LRO Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories and Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories.

As for the Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories, the parties appear to be close to an impasse

Case 3:23-md-03071 Document 998 File 10/04/24 Page 14 of 53 PageID #: 16604

objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories to Owners, Owner-Operators and Manager Defendant on May 17, 2024, pursuant to an agreement with Plaintiffs.

on one interrogatory in particular concerning certain information Defendants are seeking from each Plaintiff about non-multifamily forms of housing. The parties are working to schedule an inperson meet and confer to discuss that interrogatory.

Windsor served two interrogatories on all Plaintiffs on July 29, 2024. Plaintiffs' responses to those interrogatories are due on August 28, 2024.

(f) September 6, 2024 Report:

(i) Plaintiffs

The parties are in the process of meeting and conferring regarding Defendants' Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories. Specifically, Plaintiffs and certain Defendants are negotiating whether and to what extent such Defendants may agree to provide answers regarding joint ownership of properties (in the case of owners) or ownership of properties (in the case of managers).

(ii) Defendants

The parties continue to meet and confer regarding Plaintiffs' responses and objections to both the LRO Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories and Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories. As for the Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories, the parties met and conferred in person to discuss one interrogatory concerning certain information Defendants are seeking from each Plaintiff about non-multifamily forms of housing, and are continuing to negotiate regarding that interrogatory. As a result of that in person conference, Plaintiffs made a revised offer to provide additional information concerning non-multifamily forms of housing, which Defendants have accepted.

(g) October 4, 2024 Report:

(i) Plaintiffs

The parties continue to meet and confer regarding Defendants' Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories. Specifically, at least 17 Defendants have not provided complete information regarding ownership interest(s) held by others in Defendant multifamily properties (in the case of owners) or ownership interest(s) in Defendant multifamily properties (in the case of managers).

(ii) Defendants

The parties continue to meet and confer regarding Plaintiffs' responses and objections to the LRO Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories. As for the Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories, the parties resolved its outstanding issues during the meet and confer process.

UDR served one interrogatory on all Plaintiffs on September 16, 2024. Plaintiffs' response to that interrogatory is due on October 16, 2024.

Windsor and Plaintiffs are in the process of meeting and conferring about the adequacy of Plaintiffs responses and Objections to Windsor's interrogatories.

- 5. Other
 - (a) March 29, 2024 Report: Initial Disclosures
- (i) Plaintiffs

Plaintiffs served their Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures on February 26, 2024. Plaintiffs served supplemental Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures on March 7, 2024.

(ii) Defendants

Certain Defendants served their Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures on February 26 and February 27, 2024.8

(b) May 10, 2024 Report: Initial Disclosures

Defendants Prometheus, Sares Regis, and Rose have served their Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures. Regarding CONTI, the Court entered an agreed scheduling order relating to CONTI's Rule 12(b)(1) motions to dismiss filings in *Vincin* and *Kabisch*, which also set CONTI's initial disclosure deadline for May 17, 2024. (*See* Dkt. 315).

- (c) June 7, 2024 Report: Not applicable at this time.
- (d) July 3, 2024 Report: Not applicable at this time.
- (e) August 2, 2024 Report: Not applicable at this time.
- (f) September 6, 2024 Report: Not applicable at this time.
- (g) October 4, 2024 Report: Not applicable at this time.
- B. Describe with specificity any issues regarding "structured data discovery."
 - 1. March 29, 2024 Report: At this time, there are no issues regarding structured data discovery.
 - 2. May 10, 2024 Report: At this time, there are no issues regarding structured data discovery.
 - 3. June 7, 2024 Report: At this time, there are no issues regarding structured data discovery.
 - 4. July 3, 2024 Report: At this time, there are no issues regarding structured data discovery. Plaintiffs have sent questions concerning data samples to certain Defendants who produced their own data samples, and those parties will meet and confer regarding those questions.
 - 5. August 2, 2024 Report: At this time, there are no issues regarding structured data discovery. Plaintiffs have sent questions concerning data samples to

_

⁸ As to the severed and transferred *Kabisch* matters, Plaintiffs are conferring with CONTI, Prometheus, and Rose about their disclosures upon transfer back to this Court. Plaintiffs are conferring with CONTI regarding CONTI's position as to *Vincin* with respect to disclosures.

- certain Defendants who produced their own data samples, those Defendants responded by July 31, 2024 (or have agreed with Plaintiffs on an extension to respond), and those parties will meet and confer regarding those questions. Plaintiffs have completed their review of RealPage's structured data sample and, after determining that more information is needed, have requested or are in the process of requesting structured data samples from those Defendants that have not yet provided them.
- 6. September 6, 2024 Report: As indicated in the last status report, Plaintiffs believe that RealPage's structured data sample cannot replace structured data samples from each individual Defendant, and so Plaintiffs have conducted initial meet and confers with Defendants who have not already produced structured data samples. 16 Defendants, other than RealPage, have provided such samples. Given that this process remains ongoing, the parties anticipate that they will need to request an extension on the structured data production completion deadline of October 25, 2024. The parties will be in a better position to propose an extension in early October, when the next status report is due. In addition, per the Court's instruction during the parties' February 13, 2024 telephonic conference, Defendants and Plaintiffs have each proposed two potential individuals to be appointed as special master to oversee structured data discovery in this matter. Plaintiffs are currently awaiting Defendants' position on whether the parties can agree on either individual proposed by Plaintiffs. If they cannot, the parties will submit their proposals to the Court.
- 7. October 4, 2024 Report: At present, 26 Defendants, other than RealPage, have provided a structured data sample. Plaintiffs continue to work with the remaining 14 Defendants on structured data sample productions. The parties anticipate that they will need to request an extension on the structured data production completion deadline of October 25, 2024, and intend to file a joint motion to extend that deadline in short order. Pursuant to the Court's Order on September 17, 2024 (Dkt. 984), the parties submitted their respective proposals to the Court regarding potential individuals to be appointed as special master to oversee structured data discovery in this matter (Dkt. 987).
- C. Describe with specificity all discovery completed since the last status conference.
 - 1. March 29, 2024 Report

UDR made an initial production on March 18 and intends to continue producing documents on a rolling basis.

The following Defendants have produced one or more documents since the last status conference and also intend make a further production by the April 26, 2024, deadline: ConAm; FCM (an insurance agreement and additional documents); FPI (an insurance agreement); RealPage; and the Thoma Bravo Defendants (an insurance agreement).

The following Defendants have not produced any documents or data since the last status conference, but intend to make an initial document production by the April 26, 2024, deadline: Allied, Bell, BH, Bozzuto, Brookfield, Camden, CH, Cortland; CWS; Dayrise; ECI; Equity; Essex; Greystar; Highmark; SPR; Sherman; Simpson; IRT; Kairoi; Knightvest; Lantower; Lincoln; MAA; Mission Rock; Morgan Properties; Related; Rose; RPM Living; Sares Regis; Thrive; Trammell Crow; Windsor; Winn; and ZRS.

The Court severed and transferred the *Kabisch* matter to W.D. Tex. (as to CONTI), S.D.N.Y. (as to Rose), and W.D. Wash. (as to Sares Regis and Prometheus). The JPML issued a CTO (CTO-6) on March 27, 2024, and the JPML has stayed entry of that order for 7 days. As to those matters, the parties are conferring with CONTI, Prometheus, and Rose about responding to Plaintiffs' requests upon transfer back to this Court. The parties are conferring about CONTI's position as to the Plaintiff's claims in *Vincin*, for which CONTI did not serve responses to Plaintiffs' requests.

Plaintiffs have agreed to defer discovery of Avenue5 based on their settlement in principle.

AMC's responses to Plaintiffs' document requests are due April 21, 2024.

2. May 10, 2024 Report:

Since the last status conference, the following Defendants made an initial document production by the April 26, 2024, deadline to begin producing non-custodial documents: Allied; Bell; BH; Bozzuto; Brookfield; Camden; CH Real Estate Services ("CH"); ConAm; Cortland; CWS; Dayrise; ECI; Equity; Essex; FCM; FPI; Greystar; Highmark; SPR; Sherman; Simpson; IRT; Kairoi; Knightvest; Lantower; Lincoln; MAA; Mission Rock; Morgan Properties; Related; Rose; RPM Living; Sares Regis; the Thoma Bravo Defendants; Thrive; Trammell Crow; Windsor; Winn; and ZRS. AMC's deadline to begin producing non-custodial documents is May 21, 2024, and it intends to make an initial document production by that deadline. The deadline for Prometheus to make its initial document production is June 11, 2024. (Dkt. 883 at 4-5).

RealPage, Inc. made its second production of documents on April 26, 2024, which included non-custodial documents.

UDR made its second production of documents on May 2, 2024, and intends to continue producing responsive, non-privileged documents on a rolling basis.

Regarding CONTI, the Court entered an agreed scheduling order relating to CONTI's Rule 12(b)(1) motions to dismiss filings in *Vincin* and *Kabisch*, which set filing deadlines and allows Plaintiffs to take jurisdictional discovery relating to the motions to dismiss, as needed. (See Dkt. 315).

Regarding data productions, RealPage is working to produce data samples to Plaintiffs by May 15, 2024, which Plaintiffs have agreed, in the interest of efficiency, will satisfy the obligation of other Defendants to produce data samples by that date to the extent any other Defendant chooses not to produce its own data samples at that time. BH; Camden; Equity; Essex; Greystar; Highmark; Lantower; Lincoln; UDR; and Windsor intend to produce samples of their own data by May 15, 2024. After reviewing the samples produced by RealPage, Plaintiffs will work with the Defendants that did not make an initial data production to obtain sampling from those Defendants to the extent necessary.

3. June 7, 2024 Report

Since the last status report, the following Defendants have made additional document productions: AMC; FCM; FPI; Dayrise; Greystar; MAA; Morgan Properties; RealPage; RPM; Sherman; Sares Regis (initial production); and Crow Holdings.

Regarding data productions, at RealPage's request, Plaintiffs extended the May 15, 2024 deadline referenced above to May 22, 2024. The following Defendants produced data samples since the last status report: BH; Equity; Essex; Greystar; Highmark; Lantower; Lincoln; RealPage; UDR; Windsor; and Winn.

Regarding CONTI, the Court granted CONTI and Plaintiffs' Joint Motion to Stay Deadlines as to CONTI (Dkt. 914).

4. July 3, 2024 Report:

Since the last status report, the following Defendants have made additional document productions: BH; Camden; Cortland; Prometheus; Rose; RPM Living; Security Properties; and UDR.

5. August 2, 2024 Report:

Since the last status report, the following Defendants have made additional document productions: AMC, Equity, FCM, Prometheus, RealPage, Rose, RPM, Sares Regis, Sherman, the Thoma Bravo Defendants, Windsor, and ZRS.

As noted in the May and June status reports, some Defendants elected to defer providing data samples until Plaintiffs had an opportunity to review data samples provided by RealPage. As discussed in II.B.5. above, Plaintiffs have reviewed RealPage's data samples, and have concluded that they must proceed to collect data samples from each Defendant and are proceeding with meeting and conferring with the remaining Defendants about production of their own data samples.

6. September 6, 2024 Report:

Since the last status report, the following Defendants have made additional document productions: Camden, Cortland, ECI, Essex, Highmark, Knightvest, Lincoln, Lantower, RPM, Security Properties, the Thoma Bravo Defendants, UDR, and Windsor. Attached as Appendix A, please find a list of the number of documents produced since April 2024 as of 5:00pm ET on September 6, 2024, broken down by each Defendant. This list does not include structured data productions. Several Defendants have recently reached agreement with Plaintiffs regarding producing regulatory productions (where applicable), and custodians and search terms. Many Defendants are still negotiating these threshold issues with Plaintiffs, as reflected in Part II.A.1. above. Some Defendants have represented that they will make additional document production as early as next week. Defendants would like to explicitly note that it is not surprising that the document production numbers reflected in Appendix A for some Defendants are currently low; this reflects the fact that Plaintiffs and individual Defendants are still negotiating threshold issues related to document productions.

As to structured data, the following Defendants produced data samples since the last status report: AMC, ConAm, Equity, Highmark, Lantower, Morgan Properties, and Windsor.

7. October 4, 2024 Report:

Since the last status report, the following Defendants have made additional document productions: AIR, AMC, Bell Partners, Bozzuto, Camden, ConAm, CWS, ECI, Equity, Essex, FCM, Greystar, Highmark, Lincoln, MAA, Prometheus, RealPage, Rose, RPM, Sares Regis, Related, Thoma Bravo, UDR, and Winn. Attached as Appendix A, please find a list of the number of documents produced since the September joint status report as of 12:00 pm ET on October 4, 2024, broken down by each Defendant. This list does not include structured data productions. Several Defendants have recently reached agreement with Plaintiffs regarding custodians and search terms, and many Defendants are still negotiating these key issues with Plaintiffs, as reflected in Part II.A.1. above.

As to structured data, the following Defendants produced data samples since the last status report: Bozzuto, ConAm, CWS, ECI, FCM, IRT, MAA, Rose, Security Properties, and Thrive.

- D. Describe with specificity all discovery anticipated to be served or for which the response deadline will expire before the next status conference.
 - 1. March 29, 2024 Report:

Defendants do not anticipate serving any discovery before the next status conference. Plaintiffs anticipate that they will serve their responses and objections to Defendants' first and second sets of interrogatories before the May 17, 2024, status conference. Defendants anticipate that they will serve their responses and objections to Plaintiffs' interrogatories before the May 17, 2024, status conference.

2. May 10, 2024 Report:

Defendants do not anticipate serving any discovery before the June 14, 2024 status conference, and do not anticipate that any discovery response deadline will expire between the May 17, 2024 status conference and June 14, 2024 status conference. Plaintiffs do not anticipate serving any discovery before the June 14, 2024 status conference, and do not anticipate that any discovery response deadline other than those outlined above will expire between the May 17, 2024 status conference and June 14, 2024 status conference.

3. June 7, 2024 Report:

Neither Plaintiffs nor Defendants anticipate serving any discovery before the July 12, 2024 status conference, and do not anticipate that any discovery response deadline will expire between the June 14, 2024 and July 12, 2024 status conferences.

4. July 3, 2024 Report:

Neither Plaintiffs nor Defendants anticipate serving any discovery before the July 12, 2024 status conference, and do not anticipate that any discovery response deadline will expire between the July 12, 2024 and August 9, 2024 status conferences.

5. August 2, 2024 Report:

Besides the discovery outlined above, Defendants do not anticipate serving any discovery before the August 9, 2024 status conference, and do not anticipate that any discovery response deadline will expire between the August 9, 2024 and September 13, 2024 status conferences. Plaintiffs also do not anticipate serving any discovery before the August 9, 2024 status conference, and, but for Plaintiffs' forthcoming responses to Windsor's RFAs and Interrogatories on all Plaintiffs, do not anticipate that any discovery response deadline will expire between the August 9, 2024 and September 13, 2024 status conferences.

6. September 6, 2024 Report:

Neither Plaintiffs nor Defendants anticipate serving any discovery before the September 13, 2024 status conference, and do not anticipate that any discovery response deadline will expire between the September 13, 2024 and October 11, 2024 status conferences.

7. **October 4, 2024 Report**

Besides the discovery outlined above, Defendants do not anticipate serving any discovery before the October 11, 2024 status conference, and do not anticipate that any discovery response deadline will expire between the October 11, 2024 and November 8, 2024 status conferences. Plaintiffs also do not anticipate serving any discovery before the October 11, 2024 status conference, and, but for Plaintiffs' forthcoming responses to UDR's RFAs and Interrogatory on all Plaintiffs, do not anticipate that any discovery response deadline will expire between the October 11, 2024 and November 8, 2024, status conferences.

- E. Describe with specificity any pending or anticipated discovery related motions.
 - 1. March 29, 2024 Report: At this time, there are no pending or anticipated discovery related motions.
 - 2. May 10, 2024 Report: Prior to the June 14, 2024 status conference, Plaintiffs anticipate raising discovery disputes concerning the time frame and geographic scope of document production, as well as to compel certain Defendants to produce documents already produced to government regulators.
 - 3. June 7, 2024 Report: At this time, there are no pending or anticipated discovery related motions. The parties have found the first two in-person conferrals to be constructive and highly productive. Plaintiffs have encountered scheduling difficulties with certain Defendants in arranging additional in-person meet and confers. In order to promptly raise upcoming potential motions with the Court, Plaintiffs have proposed a regular schedule for in-person conferrals if needed, presumptively in Nashville. Defendants believe that setting a regular schedule for in-person conferrals is unnecessary and inefficient because any in-person meet and confers can be (and have been) scheduled on as-needed basis between the individual Plaintiffs' attorney responsible for a particular defendant and that Defendant. The Parties will continue to negotiate that proposal.

- 4. July 3, 2024 Report: At this time, there are no pending or anticipated discovery related motions.
- 5. August 2, 2024 Report: Plaintiffs have met and conferred in-person with RealPage and anticipate that a discovery motion relating to its production of source code may become ripe before the September status conference. Otherwise, the Parties have one in-person meet and confer scheduled for August 5, 2024, and Plaintiffs anticipate that others will be scheduled in the next few weeks. Depending on the outcome of those meet and confers, Plaintiffs anticipate that several discovery disputes may become ripe before the September status conference.
- 6. September 6, 2024 Report: Plaintiffs filed a motion to compel an in-person discovery conferral with Cortland on August 27, 2024, which, following the Court's August 28, 2024 Order, was resolved by the parties. Plaintiffs have filed a withdrawal of the motion to compel with respect to Cortland. Plaintiffs anticipate filing a joint motion for a discovery conference with one or more Defendants prior to the status conference. Depending on the outcome of scheduled in-person meet and confers, Plaintiffs anticipate that additional discovery disputes may become ripe before the October status conference.
- 7. October 4, 2024 Report: Depending on the outcome of upcoming meet and confers, including in-person conferrals, Plaintiffs anticipate that additional discovery disputes may become ripe in the next month.
- III. Does any party anticipate or has any party identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on Federal Rule of Evidence 502 (Dkt. 822). If so, please explain in detail.
 - A. March 29, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on FRE 502 (Dkt. 822).
 - B. May 10, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on FRE 502 (Dkt. 822).
 - C. July 3, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on FRE 502 (Dkt. 822).
 - D. August 2, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on FRE 502 (Dkt. 822).
 - E. September 6, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on FRE 502 (Dkt. 822).
 - F. October 4, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on FRE 502 (Dkt. 822).

- IV. Does any party anticipate or has any party identified any issues arising from the Case Management Order (Doc. No. 818). If so, please explain in detail.
 - A. March 29, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Case Management Order (Dkt. 818).
 - B. May 10, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Case Management Order (Dkt. 818).
 - C. June 7, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Case Management Order (Dkt. 818).
 - D. July 3, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Case Management Order (Dkt. 818).
 - E. August 2, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Case Management Order (Dkt. 818).
 - F. September 6, 2024 Report: Plaintiffs proposed a supplement to the Case Management Order (Dkt. 818) to Defendants on August 28, 2024, setting forth certain global interim deadlines to assist in uniformly moving negotiations forward, along with specific dates for in-person conferrals. The parties originally discussed global negotiations on various issues; however, Defendants have since requested that, to the extent Plaintiffs have issues with a particular Defendant's discovery responses, those should be raised with that Defendant's counsel, and the specific issue addressed as to that Defendant's particular responses. The parties are conferring regarding Plaintiffs' proposed supplement.
 - G. October 4, 2024 Report: Besides the structured data deadline extension referenced above, the parties have resolved any issues related to the Case Management Order (Dkt. 818).
- V. Does any party anticipate or has any party identified any issues arising from the Order on Deposition Protocol (Doc. No. 816). If so, please explain in detail.
 - A. March 29, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Order on Deposition Protocol (Dkt. 816).
 - B. May 10, 2024 Report: The parties' respective positions regarding issues related to the Order on Deposition Protocol (Dkt. 816) are identified in the parties' Joint Motion for Discovery Conference on Depositions Limits (Dkt. 908), and accompanying Joint Submission of Disputes Regarding Supplemental Order on Deposition Protocol (Dkt. 908-1), which the parties filed on May 8, 2024. The parties are awaiting a discovery conference on that dispute.
 - C. June 7, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Order on Deposition Protocol (Dkt. 816) or the Court's Stipulation and Order on Deposition Limits (Dkt. 911).

- D. July 3, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Order on Deposition Protocol (Dkt. 816) or the Court's Stipulation and Order on Deposition Limits (Dkt. 911).
- E. August 2, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Order on Deposition Protocol (Dkt. 816) or the Court's Stipulation and Order on Deposition Limits (Dkt. 911).
- F. September 6, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Order on Deposition Protocol (Dkt. 816) or the Court's Stipulation and Order on Deposition Limits (Dkt. 911).
- G. October 4, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Order on Deposition Protocol (Dkt. 816) or the Court's Stipulation and Order on Deposition Limits (Dkt. 911).
- VI. Does any party anticipate or has any party identified any issues arising from the Joint Electronically Stored Information (Dkt. 815). If so, please explain in detail.
 - A. March 29, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Joint Electronically Stored Information Order (Dkt. 815).
 - B. May 10, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Joint Electronically Stored Information Order (Dkt. 815).
 - C. June 7, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Joint Electronically Stored Information Order (Dkt. 815).
 - D. July 3, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Joint Electronically Stored Information Order (Dkt. 815). However, Windsor is meeting and conferring with Plaintiffs concerning whether it is necessary for Windsor to continue to preserve certain resident files that it believes are duplicative and unnecessarily burdensome to continue preserving for the duration of this litigation.
 - E. August 2, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Joint Electronically Stored Information Order (Dkt. 815).
 - F. September 6, 2024 Report: In search methodology negotiations, Plaintiffs and a number of Defendants have provided hit reports for the proposed search terms to be used on their respective document productions, which has helped move discussions forward productively and avoid most search methodology disputes. As mentioned above, some Defendants have not.
 - G. October 4, 2024 Report: Additional custodial search term negotiations remain ongoing with numerous Defendants, as reflected above. On September 26, 2024, Plaintiffs asked Defendants to jointly move the Court to modify the Electronically Stored Information Order to shorten the time frame for

Plaintiffs to identify second-round custodians to 60 days (rather than 90 days) before the March 28, 2025 close of document production. The parties will confer regarding Plaintiffs' proposal.

- H. At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Joint Electronically Stored Information Order (Dkt. 815).
- VII. Does any party anticipate or has any party identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on Expert Discovery (Dkt. 814). If so, please explain in detail.
 - A. March 29, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on Expert Discovery (Dkt. 814).
 - B. May 10, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on Expert Discovery (Dkt. 814).
 - C. June 7, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on Expert Discovery (Dkt. 814).
 - D. July 3, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on Expert Discovery (Dkt. 814).
 - E. August 2, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on Expert Discovery (Dkt. 814).
 - F. September 6, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on Expert Discovery (Dkt. 814).
 - G. October 4, 2024 Report: At this time, no party anticipates or has identified any issues arising from the Stipulation and Order on Expert Discovery (Dkt. 814).
- VIII. Provide a proposed status conference agenda.
 - A. March 29, 2024 Report: The parties have no proposed agenda items or topics to discuss at the status conference scheduled for April 5, 2024.
 - B. May 10, 2024 Report: Unless the Court intends to take up the parties' dispute concerning depositions limits, the parties have no proposed agenda items or topics to discuss at the status conference scheduled for May 17, 2024.
 - C. June 7, 2024 Report: The parties have no proposed agenda items or topics to discuss at the status conference scheduled for June 14, 2024.
 - D. July 3, 2024 Report: The parties have no proposed agenda items or topics to discuss at the status conference scheduled for July 12, 2024.

- E. August 2, 2024 Report: The parties have no proposed agenda items or topics to discuss at the status conference scheduled for August 9, 2024.
- F. September 6, 2024 Report: Plaintiffs propose discussing the progress of discovery. Defendants believe the discovery process is working and there is nothing to discuss at the upcoming status conference.
- G. October 4, 2024 Report: Plaintiffs propose discussing the parties' respective special master proposals (Dkt. 987), as well as their forthcoming joint motion to extend the structured data production completion deadline. Defendants do not believe there is anything to discuss at the upcoming status conference; the parties' respective special master proposals and the parties' forthcoming joint motion to extend the structured data completion deadline speak for themselves. If the Court would like to speak with the parties on these topics, Defendants respectfully submit that a telephonic conference would be appropriate and efficient.

Verified by:

/s/ Patrick J. Coughlin

/s/ Jay Srinivasan Jay Srinivasan

333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071

Telephone: (213) 229-7430

jsrinivasan@gibsondunn.com

Patrick J. Coughlin

SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

600 West Broadway, Suite 3300

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 798-5325 Facsimile: (619) 233-0508 pcoughlin@scott-scott.com

Dated: October 4, 2024

/s/ Tricia R. Herzfeld

Tricia R. Herzfeld (#26014) Anthony A. Orlandi (#33988)

HERZFELD SUETHOLZ GASTEL LENISKI

AND WALL, PLLC

223 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, Suite 300

Nashville, TN 37203

Telephone: (615) 800-6225 tricia@hsglawgroup.com tony@hsglawgroup.com

Liaison Counsel

Patrick J. Coughlin Carmen A. Medici Fatima Brizuela

SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP

600 West Broadway, Suite 3300

San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 798-5325 Facsimile: (619) 233-0508 pcoughlin@scott-scott.com cmedici@scott-scott.com

fbrizuela@scott-scott.com

Patrick McGahan Amanda F. Lawrence Michael Srodoski G. Dustin Foster Isabella De Lisi

156 South Main Street

SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP

P.O. Box 192
Colchester, CT 06145
Telephone: (860) 537-5537
Facsimile: (860) 537-4432
pmcgahan@scott-scott.com
alawrence@scott-scott.com
msrodoski@scott-scott.com
gfoster@scott-scott.com
idelisi@scott-scott.com

Matthew J. Perez

SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP

230 Park Ave., 17th Floor New York, NY 10169 Telephone: (212) 223-6444 matt.perez@scott-scott.com

Stacey Slaughter
Thomas J. Undlin
Geoffrey H. Kozen
J. Austin Hurt
Caitlin E. Keiper
Navy A. Thompson
ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

KODINS KAI LAN LLI

800 LaSalle Avenue, Suite 2800 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 349-8500 Facsimile: (612) 339-4181 sslaughter@robinskaplan.com tundlin@robinskaplan.com gkozen@robinskaplan.com ahurt@robinskaplan.com ckeiper@robinskaplan.com nthompson@robinskaplan.com

Swathi Bojedla Mandy Boltax **HAUSFELD LLP** 888 16th Street, N.W., Suite 300

Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 540-7200 sbojedla@hausfeld.com mboltax@hausfeld.com

Gary I. Smith, Jr. Joey Bui HAUSFELD LLP 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200 San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 633-1908 gsmith@hausfeld.com jbui@hausfeld.com

Katie R. Beran HAUSFELD LLP 325 Chestnut Street, Suite 900 Philadelphia, PA 19106 Telephone: 1 215 985 3270 kberan@hausfeld.com

Interim Co-Lead Counsel

Eric L. Cramer Michaela L. Wallin

BERGER MONTAGUE PC

1818 Market Street, Suite 3600

Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 875-3000

ecramer@bm.net mwallin@bm.net

Daniel J. Walker

BERGER MONTAGUE PC

1001 G. Street, NW Suite 400 East

Washington, DC 20001 Telephone: (202) 559-9745

dwalker@bm.net

Brendan P. Glackin Dean M. Harvey

LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

275 Battery Street, Suite 2900 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415-956-1000 bglackin@lchb.com dharvey@lchb.com

Mark P. Chalos Hannah R. Lazarz Kenneth S. Byrd

LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & 601 California Street, Suite 1505 BERNSTEIN, LLP San Francisco, CA 94108

222 2nd Avenue South, Ste. 1640 Nashville, TN 37201 (615) 313-9000 mchalos@lchb.com hlazarz@lchb.com kbyrd@lchb.com

Benjamin J. Widlanski Javier A. Lopez

KOZYAK TROPIN THROCKMORTON LLP

2525 Ponce de Leon Blvd., 9th Floor Coral Gables, Florida 33134 Telephone: (305) 372-1800 Christian P. Levis Vincent Briganti Peter Demato Radhika Gupta

LOWEY DANNENBERG, P.C.

44 South Broadway, Suite 1100 White Plains, NY 10601 Telephone: (914) 997-0500

Facsimile: (914) 997-0035 vbriganti@lowey.com clevis@lowey.com pdemato@lowey.com rgupta@lowey.com

Christopher M. Burke Walter W. Noss Yifan (Kate) Lv

KOREIN TILLERY P.C.

707 Broadway, Suite 1410 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 625-5621 Facsimile (314) 241-3525 cburke@koreintillery.com wnoss@koreintillery.com klv@koreintillery.com

Joseph R. Saveri Cadio Zirpoli Kevin E. Rayhill

JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, LLP

San Francisco, CA 94108
Telephone: (415) 500-6800
jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com
czirpoli@saverilawfirm.com
krayhill@saverilawfirm.com

Jennifer W. Sprengel Daniel O. Herrera Alexander Sweatman

CAFFERTY CLOBES MERIWETHER &

& SPRENGEL LLP

135 S. LaSalle, Suite 3210

Chicago, IL 60603

Telephone: 312-782-4880 Facsimile: 312-782-4485

bwidlanski@kttlaw.com jal@kttlaw.com jsprengel@caffertyclobes.com dherrera@caffertyclobes.com asweatman@caffertyclobes.com

Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Counsel for Plaintiffs

/s/ Jay Srinivasan

Telephone: (213) 229-7430

Jay Srinivasan (admitted *pro hac vice*) jsrinivasan@gibsondunn.com
Daniel G. Swanson (admitted *pro hac vice*) dswanson@gibsondunn.com
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071

Stephen Weissman (admitted *pro hac vice*) sweissman@gibsondunn.com
Michael J. Perry (admitted *pro hac vice*)
mjperry@gibsondunn.com
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036
Telephone: (202) 955-8678

S. Christopher Whittaker (admitted *pro hac* Samuel P. Funk (TN Bar # 019777) *vice*) SIMS|FUNK, PLC

cwhittaker@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1361 Michelson Drive Irvine, CA 92612 Telephone: (212) 351-2671

Ben A. Sherwood (admitted *pro hac vice*) bsherwood@gibsondunn.com
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166

Thomas H. Dundon (SBN: 004539) tdundon@nealharwell.com Neal & Harwell, PLC 1201 Demonbreun Street, Suite 1000 Nashville, TN 37203

Telephone: (212) 351-2671

Telephone: (615) 244-1713

Counsel for Defendant RealPage, Inc.

<u>/s/ Edwin Buffmire</u>

Edwin Buffmire ebuffmire@jw.com Michael Moran

Michael J. Murtha mmurtha@jw.com JACKSON WALKER LLP 2323 Ross Ave., Suite 600 Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: (214) 953-6000

Kevin Fulton kevin@fultonlg.com THE FULTON LAW GROUP PLLC 7676 Hillmont St., Suite 191 Houston, TX 77040

Telephone: (713) 589-6964

Samuel P. Funk (TN Bar # 019777 SIMS|FUNK, PLC 3102 West End Ave., Suite 1100 Nashville, Tennessee 37203 (615) 292-9335 – Phone (615) 649-8565 – Fax sfunk@simsfunk.com

Counsel for Defendant Allied Orion Group, LLC

/s/ Danny David

Danny David

danny.david@bakerbotts.com

BAKER BOTTS LLP 910 Louisiana Street Houston, TX 77002

Telephone: (713) 229-4055

James Kress (admitted *pro hac vice*) james.kress@bakerbotts.com
Paul Cuomo (*pro hac vice* pending)
paul.cuomo@bakerbotts.com
BAKER BOTTS LLP
700 K. Street, NW

Washington, DC 20001 Telephone: (202) 639-7884

John R. Jacobson (#14365) jjacobson@rjfirm.com Milton S. McGee, III (#24150) tmcgee@rjfirm.com RILEY & JACOBSON, PLC 1906 West End Avenue Nashville, TN 37203 Telephone: (615) 320-3700

Counsel for Defendant Avenue5 Residential, LLC

/s/ Ian Simmons

Ian Simmons isimmons@omm.com
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
1625 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 383-5196

Stephen McIntyre smcintyre@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-6000

Counsel for Defendant BH Management Services. LLC

/s/ Marguerite Willis

Marguerite Willis (admitted *pro hac vice*) mwillis@maynardnexsen.com
MAYNARD NEXSEN PC
104 South Main Street
Greenville, SC 29601
Telephone: (864) 370-2211

Michael A. Parente (admitted *pro hac vice*) mparente@maynardnexsen.com
MAYNARD NEXSEN PC
1230 Main Street, Suite 700
Columbia, SC 29201
Telephone: (803) 771-8900

Margaret M. Siller (BPR No. 039058) msiller@maynardnexsen.com
MAYNARD NEXSEN PC
1131 4th Avenue South, Suite 320
Nashville, Tennessee 37210
Telephone: (629) 258-2253

Counsel for Defendant Bell Partners, Inc.

/s/ Edwin Buffmire

Edwin Buffmire ebuffmire@jw.com Michael Moran

Michael J. Murtha mmurtha@jw.com JACKSON WALKER LLP 2323 Ross Ave., Suite 600 Dallas, TX 75201 Telephone: (214) 953-6000

Samuel P. Funk (TN Bar # 019777) SIMS|FUNK, PLC 3102 West End Ave., Suite 1100 Nashville, Tennessee 37203 (615) 292-9335 – Phone (615) 649-8565 – Fax sfunk@simsfunk.com

Counsel for Defendants Trammell Crow Residential Company and Crow Holdings, LP

/s/ James D. Bragdon

James D. Bragdon
jbragdon@gejlaw.com
Sam Cowin
scowin@gejlaw.com
GALLAGHER EVELIUS & JONES LLP
218 N. Charles St., Suite 400
Baltimore, MD 21201
Telephone: (410) 727-7702

Philip A. Giordano (admitted *pro hac vice*) philip.giordano@hugheshubbard.com
HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP
1775 I Street NW
Washington, DC 20007
Telephone: (202) 721-4776

Charles E. Elder, BPR # 038250 celder@bradley.com BRADLEY ARANTBOULT CUMMINGS LLP 1600 Division Street, Suite 700 Nashville, Tennessee 37203 P: 615.252.3597

Counsel for Defendant Bozzuto Management Company

/s/ Yehudah L. Buchweitz

Yehudah L. Buchweitz yehudah.buchweitz@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 Telephone: (212) 310-8256

Jeff L. White jeff.white@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 2001 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 682-7059

/s/ E. Steele Clayton IV

E. Steele Clayton IV (BPR 017298) sclayton@bassberry.com
Jeremy A. Gunn (BPR 039803) jeremy.gunn@bassberry.com
Bass, Berry & Sims PLC
150 Third Avenue South, Suite 2800
Nashville, TN 37201
Telephone (615) 742-6200
Facsimile (615) 742-6293

Counsel for Defendant Brookfield Properties Multifamily LLC

/s/ Danielle R. Foley

Danielle R. Foley (admitted *pro hac vice*)

drfoley@venable.com

Andrew B. Dickson (admitted *pro hac vice*)

abdickson@venable.com

Morenike Oyebade (admitted *pro hac vice*)

mioyebade@venable.com

VENABLE LLP

600 Massachusetts Avenue, NW

Washington, D.C. 20001

(202) 344-4300

Counsel for Defendant CH Real Estate Services, Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P.

LLC

/s/ Benjamin R. Nagin

Benjamin R. Nagin bnagin@sidley.com

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

787 Seventh Avenue

New York, NY 10019

Telephone: (212) 839-5300

Counsel for Defendant ConAm Management

Corporation

/s/ Lynn H. Murray

Lynn H. Murray lhmurray@shb.com Maveric Ray Searle msearle@shb.com

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. 111 S. Wacker Dr., Suite 4700

Chicago, IL 60606

Telephone: (312) 704-7766

Ryan Sandrock rsandrock@shb.com

555 Mission Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105

Telephone: (415) 544-1944

Laurie A. Novion lnovion@shb.com

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.

2555 Grand Blvd.

Kansas City, MO 64108

Telephone: (816) 559-2352

Counsel for Defendant Camden Property Trust

/s/ Bradley C. Weber /s/ Todd R. Seelman

Bradley C. Weber (admitted pro hac vice)

bweber@lockelord.com LOCKE LORD LLP

2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 2800

Dallas, TX 75201

LLC

Telephone: (214) 740-8497

Todd R. Seelman

todd.seelman@lewisbrisbois.com

Thomas L. Dyer

thomas.dyer@lewisbrisbois.com

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

1700 Lincoln Street, Suite 4000

Denver, CO 80203

Counsel for Defendant Dayrise Residential, Telephone: (720) 292-2002

Counsel for Defendant Cortland Management,

LLC

/s/ Ann MacDonald

Ann MacDonald

Ann.macdonald@afslaw.com

Barry Hyman

Barry.hyman@afslaw.com ARENTFOX SCHIFF LLP

233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 7100

Chicago, IL 60606

Telephone: (312) 258-5500

/s/ Alexander M. Brauer

Alexander M. Brauer (pro hac vice pending)

abrauer@baileybrauer.com

Jason R. Marlin (pro hac vice pending)

jmarlin@baileybrauer.com BAILEY BRAUER PLLC

8350 N. Central Expy, Suite 650

Dallas, TX 75206

Telephone: (214) 360-7433

Counsel for Defendant CWS Apartment Homes, Counsel for Defendant CONTI Texas LLC

Organization, Inc., d/b/a CONTI Capital

/s/ Charles H. Samel

Charles H. Samel
charles.samel@stoel.com
Edward C. Duckers
ed.duckers@stoel.com
STOEL RIVES LLP

1 Montgomery Street, Suite 3230

San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 617-8900

George A. Guthrie gguthrie@wilkefleury.com WILKE FLEURY LLP 621 Capitol Mall, Suite 900 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 441-2430

Counsel for Defendant FPI Management, Inc.

/s/ Carl W. Hittinger

Carl W. Hittinger chittinger@bakerlaw.com Alyse F. Stach astach@bakerlaw.com BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP 1735 Market Street, Suite 3300 Philadelphia, PA 19103-7501

Telephone: (215) 568-3100

Matt Schock mschock@bakerlaw.com BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP 1050 Connecticut Ave. N.W., Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20236-5403 Telephone: (202) 861-1529

Stephen J. Zralek, BPR #018971 szralek@spencerfane.com S. Chase Fann, BPR #036794 cfann@spencerfane.com SPENCER FANE LLP 511 Union Street, Suite 1000 Nashville, TN 37219 Telephone: (615) 238-6300

Counsel for Defendant Equity Residential

/s/ Michael D. Bonanno

Michael D. Bonanno (admitted pro hac vice)

mikebonanno@quinnemanuel.com

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP

1300 I St. NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 538-8225

Christopher Daniel Kercher (admitted pro hac Telephone: (202) 747-1925

vice)

christopherkercher@quinnemanuel.com

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP

51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor, New York, New York 10010

Telephone: (212) 849-7000

Andrew Gardella, Esq. (TN Bar #027247)

agardella@martintate.com

MARTIN, TATE, MORROW & MARSTON P.C.

315 Deaderick Street, Suite 1550

Nashville, TN 37238

Telephone: (615) 627-0668

Counsel for Defendant Highmark Residential, LLC

/s/ Cliff A. Wade

Cliff A. Wade

cliff.wade@bakerlopez.com

Chelsea L. Futrell

chelsea.futrell@bakerlopez.com

BAKER LOPEZ PLLC

5728 LBJ Freeway, Suite 150

Dallas, Texas 75240

Telephone: (469) 206-9384

Counsel for Defendant Knightvest Residential

/s/ Leo D. Caseria

Leo D. Caseria

lcaseria@sheppardmullin.com

Helen C. Eckert

heckert@sheppardmullin.com

SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP 2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 100

Washington, DC, 20006

Arman Oruc

aoruc@goodwinlaw.com

GOODWIN PROCTER, LLP

1900 N Street, NW

Washington, DC 20036

Telephone: (202) 346-4000

Counsel for Defendant Essex Property Trust,

Inc.

/s/ Gregory J. Casas

Gregory J. Casas (admitted pro hac vice)

casasg@gtlaw.com

Emily W. Collins (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Emily.Collins@gtlaw.com GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 300 West 6th Street, Suite 2050

Austin, TX 78701-4052 Telephone: (512) 320-7200

Robert J. Herrington (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Robert.Herrington@gtlaw.com GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

1840 Century Park East, Suite 1900

Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 586-7700

Becky L. Caruso (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Becky.Caruso@gtlaw.com GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 500 Campus Drive, Suite 400 Florham Park, NJ 07932 Telephone: (973) 443-3252

/s/ Ryan T. Holt

Ryan T. Holt (No. 30191) rholt@srvhlaw.com Mark Alexander Carver (No. 36754) acarver@srvhlaw.com SHERRARD ROE VOIGT & HARBISON, PLC 150 Third Avenue South, Suite 1100 Nashville, Tennessee 37201 Tel. (615) 742-4200

Counsel for Defendant Lincoln Property eliot.turner@nortonrosefulbright.com

Company

/s/ John J. Sullivan

John J. Sullivan (admitted pro hac vice)

jsullivan@cozen.com COZEN O'CONNOR P.C.

3 WTC, 175 Greenwich St., 55th Floor

New York, NY 10007

Telephone: (212) 453-3729

Thomas Ingalls (admitted *pro hac vice*)

tingalls@cozen.com COZEN O'CONNOR P.C. 1200 19th St. NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 471-3411

Robert S. Clark (admitted *pro hac vice*)

robertclark@cozen.com COZEN O'CONNOR P.C.

1650 Market Street, Suite 2800

Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 665-2041

Nathan J. Larkin (admitted *pro hac vice*)

nlarkin@cozen.com COZEN O'CONNOR P.C. 1650 Market Street, Suite 2800 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 366-4463

Counsel for Defendants Independence Realty Trust. Inc. and Sherman Associates. Inc.

/s/ Eliot Turner

Eliot Turner

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP

1301 McKinney, Suite 5100,

Houston, Texas 77010 Telephone: (713) 651-5151

Counsel for Defendant Kairoi Management, LLC

/s/ Michael W. Scarborough

Michael W. Scarborough (admitted pro hac Karen Hoffman Lent (admitted pro hac vice)

vice)

mscarborough@velaw.com

Dylan I. Ballard (admitted pro hac vice)

dballard@velaw.com VINSON & ELKINS LLP

555 Mission Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94105

Telephone: (415) 979-6900

Counsel for Defendant Lantower Luxury Living, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP

LLC

/s/ Karen Hoffman Lent

karen.lent@skadden.com

Boris Bershteyn (admitted *pro hac vice*)

boris.bershteyn@skadden.com

Evan Kreiner (admitted pro hac vice)

evan.kreiner@skadden.com

Sam Auld (admitted pro hac vice)

sam.auld@skadden.com

Adam Kochman (admitted pro hac vice)

adam.kochman@skadden.com

One Manhattan West New York, NY 10001 Telephone: (212) 735-3000

Joshua C. Cumby (BPR No. 37949)

joshua.cumby@arlaw.com

F. Laurens Brock (BPR No. 17666)

larry.brock@arlaw.com

Rocklan W. King, III (BPR No. 30643)

rocky.king@arlaw.com ADAMS AND REESE LLP

1600 West End Avenue, Suite 1400

Nashville, Tennessee 37203 Telephone: (615) 259-1450

Counsel for Defendant Greystar Management

Services, LLC

/s/ Britt M. Miller

Britt M. Miller (admitted pro hac vice) bmiller@mayerbrown.com Daniel T. Fenske (admitted *pro hac vice*) dfenske@mayerbrown.com Matthew D. Provance (admitted *pro hac vice*) mprovance@mayerbrown.com MAYER BROWN LLP 71 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 6006 Telephone: (312) 701-8663

Rachel J. Lamorte (admitted *pro hac vice*) rlamorte@mayerbrown.com MAYER BROWN LLP 1999 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1101 Telephone: (202) 263-3262

Scott D. Carey (#15406) scarey@bakerdonelson.com Ryan P. Loofbourrow (#33414) rloofbourrow@bakerdonelson.com BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, P.C. 1600 West End Avenue, Suite 2000 Nashville, TN 37203 Telephone: (615) 726-5600

Counsel for **Defendants** Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. and Mid-America Apartments, L.P.

/s/ Jeffrey C. Bank

Jeffrey C. Bank jbank@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI PC 1700 K Street NW, Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 973-8800

Rachael Racine rracine@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI PC 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 497-7766

Counsel for Defendant Morgan Properties Management Company, LLC

/s/ Richard P. Sybert

Richard P. Sybert (WSBA No. 8357) rsybert@grsm.com GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2100 Seattle, WA 98104 Telephone: (206) 321-5222

Counsel for Defendant First Communities Management, Inc.

/s/ Jose Dino Vasquez

Jose Dino Vasquez dvasquez@karrtuttle.com Jason Hoeft jhoeft@karrtuttle.com KARR TUTTLE CAMPBELL 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98104

Telephone: (206) 223-1313

/s/ David A. Walton

David A. Walton dwalton@bellnunnally.com Troy Lee (T.J.) Hales thales@bellnunnally.com BELL NUNNALLY & MARTIN, LLP 2323 Ross Avenue, Suite 1900 Dallas, TX 75201

Counsel for Defendant RPM Living, LLC

Counsel for Defendant Security Properties Residential, LLC

/s/ Brent Justus

Brent Justus

bjustus@mcguirewoods.com

Nick Giles

ngiles@mcguirewoods.com McGuireWoods LLP

800 East Canal Street

Richmond, VA 23219-3916

Telephone: (804) 775-1000

Counsel for Defendant Simpson Property maryannalmeida@dwt.com

Group, LLC

/s/ Yonaton Rosenzweig

Yonaton Rosenzweig

yonirosenzweig@dwt.com

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

865 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2400

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Fred B. Burnside

fredburnside@dwt.com

MaryAnn T. Almeida

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300

Seattle, WA 98104

Telephone: (206) 757-8016

Counsel for Defendant Mission Rock

Residential, LLC

/s/ Andrew Harris

Andrew Harris
Andrew.Harris@Levittboccio.com
LEVITT & BOCCIO, LLP
423 West 55th Street
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 801-1104

/s/ Georgia K. Winston

Georgia K. Winston (admitted *pro hac vice*) gwinston@wmhlaw.com
WALDEN MACHT & HARAN LLP
250 Vesey Street
New York, NY 10281
Telephone: (212) 335-2030

Jennifer S. Rusie Jennifer.rusie@jacksonlewis.com JACKSON LEWIS, P.C. 611 Commerce Street, Suite 2803 Nashville, TN 37203 Telephone: (615) 656-1664

Counsel for Defendants The Related Companies, L.P. and Related Management Company, L.P.

/s/ Benjamin I. VandenBerghe

Benjamin I. VandenBerghe biv@montgomerypurdue.com Kaya R. Lurie klurie@montgomerypurdue.com MONTGOMERY PURDUE PLLC 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5500 Seattle, Washington 98104-7096

Counsel for Defendant Thrive Communities Management, LLC

/s/ David D. Cross

David D. Cross (admitted pro hac vice)

dcross@goodwinlaw.com

Mary G. Kaiser (pro hac vice pending)

mkaiser@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 1900 N Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036

Telephone: (202) 346-4000

Kathryn M. Baldwin (admitted *pro hac vice*)

kbaldwin@goodwinlaw.com

Benjamin E. Campbell (admitted pro hac vice)

benjamincampbell@goodwinlaw.com

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 620 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10018 Telephone: (212) 813-8800

/s/ Joshua L. Burgener

Joshua L. Burgener

jburgener@dickinsonwright.com

DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC 424 Church Street, Suite 800

Nashville, TN 37219

Telephone: (615) 620-1757

Counsel for Defendant UDR, Inc.

/s/ Craig Seebald

Jessalyn H. Zeigler jzeigler@bassberry.com BASS, BERRY & SIMS, PLC 150 Third Avenue South

Suite 2800

Nashville, TN 37201

Telephone: (615) 742-6200

Craig P. Seebald (admitted *pro hac vice*)

cseebald@velaw.com

Stephen M. Medlock (admitted *pro hac vice*)

smedlock@velaw.com Michael McCambridge mmcambridge@velaw.com VINSON & ELKINS LLP

2200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.

Suite 500 West

Washington, D.C. 20037 Telephone: (202) 639-6500

Christopher W. James (admitted pro hac vice)

cjames@velaw.com VINSON & ELKINS LLP 555 Mission Street

Suite 2000

San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 979-6900

Counsel for Defendant Windsor Property Management Company

/s/ Matt T. Adamson

Matt T. Adamson madamson@jpclaw.com JAMESON PEPPLE CANTU PLLC 801 Second Avenue, Suite 700 Seattle, WA 98104

Telephone: (206) 292-1994

Counsel for Defendant B/T Washington, LLC d/b/a Blanton Turner

/s/ Evan Fray-Witzer

Evan Fray-Witzer Evan@CFWLegal.com CIAMPA FRAY-WITZER, LLP 20 Park Plaza, Suite 505 Boston, MA 02116 Telephone: 617-426-0000

/s/ Mark McKane, P.C.

Mark McKane, P.C. (pro hac vice) mark.mckane @kirkland.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 555 California Street San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 439-1400

and WinnResidential Manager Corp.

Counsel for Defendants WinnCompanies LLC, Counsel for Defendants Thoma Bravo L.P., Thoma Bravo Fund XIII, L.P., and Thoma Bravo Fund XIV, L.P.

/s/ Ferdose al-Taie

Ferdose al-Taie (admitted pro hac vice) faltaie@bakerdonelson.com BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN CALDWELL &150 Third Ave. South #2800

BERKOWITZ, P.C. 956 Sherry Lane, 20th Floor

Dallas, TX 75225

Telephone: (214) 391-7210

Christopher E. Thorsen (BPR # 21049)

cthorsen@bakerdonelson.com

BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN CALDWELL &Salt Lake City, UT 84101

BERKOWITZ, P.C.

Baker Donelson Center, Suite 800

211 Commerce Street Nashville, TN 37201

Telephone: (615) 726-5600

/s/ Sarah B. Miller

Sarah B. Miller (TN#33441) BASS, BERRY & SIMS PLC Nashville, TN 37201 Telephone: (615) 742-6200

Amy F. Sorenson (admitted *pro hac vice*)

SNELL & WILMER, L.L.P.

smiller@bassberry.com

15 West South Temple, Ste. 1200

Telephone: (801) 257-1900 asorenson@swlaw.com

Colin P. Ahler (admitted *pro hac vice*)

SNELL & WILMER, L.L.P.

One East Washington St., Ste. 2700

Counsel for Defendant ZRS Management, LLC Phoenix, AZ 85004

Telephone: (602) 382-6000

cahler@swlaw.com

Counsel for Defendant Apartment Management

Consultants, LLC

/s/ Jeffrey S. Cashdan

Jeffrey S. Cashdan (admitted pro hac vice)

jcashdan@kslaw.com

Emily S. Newton (admitted pro hac vice)

enewton@kslaw.com

Lohr A. Beck (admitted pro hac vice)

lohr.beck@kslaw.com

Carley H. Thompson (admitted *pro hac vice*)

chthompson@kslaw.com

KING & SPALDING LLP

1180 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 1600

Atlanta, GA 30309

Telephone: (404) 572-4600

Counsel for Defendant ECI Management, LLC

/s/ Judith A. Zahid

Judith A. Zahid (admitted *pro hac vice*)

jzahid@zellelaw.com

Heather T. Rankie (admitted pro hac vice)

hrankie@zellelaw.com

ZELLE LLP

555 12th Street, Suite 1230

Oakland, CA 94607

Telephone: (415) 633-1916

Counsel for Defendant Prometheus Real Estate

Group, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 4, 2024, I caused the foregoing to be electronically filed

with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/Dkt. system, which will send notification of such filing

to the email addresses denoted on the Electronic Mail Notice List.

/s/ Tricia R. Herzfeld
Tricia R. Herzfeld